

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CALCULATING BIT LOG-LIKELIHOOD RATIOS FOR QAM SIGNALS

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to communications systems, and more particularly to methods for demodulating and decoding encoded data bits transmitting in a code division multiple access (CDMA) system.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Various communication systems are known in the art. In many systems an information signal is modulated onto a carrier signal and transmitted from a first location to a second location. At the second location, the information signal is demodulated and recovered.

Typically, the communication path used by such systems has various limitations, such as bandwidth. As a result, there are upper practical limitations that restrict the quantity of information that can be supported by the communication path over a given period of time. Various modulation techniques have been proposed that effectively increase the information handling capacity of the communication path as measured against other modulation techniques.

One modulation technique is M-ary quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM). QAM provides a constellation of an M number of modulation values (distinguished from one another by each having a different combination of phase and amplitude) wherein each constellation point represents a plurality of information bits. In order to achieve greater spectral efficiency in CDMA systems, for example, higher order modulations are used. In general, the number of bits that are represented by each symbol in an M-ary QAM system is equal to $\log_2 M$. For example, 16 QAM uses 16 distinct constellation points (four points in each quadrant of a complex Cartesian plane having I and Q axes) that each represent $\log_2 16$ or four information bits. Another example is 64-QAM wherein 64 constellation points each

represent a combination of $\log_2 64$ or six information bits.

FIG. 1 illustrates a constellation for a 64-QAM communication system that is a map of 64 points each representing a combination of six bits on the complex plane defined by a horizontal axis representing the real portions (i.e., I) and a vertical axis representing imaginary portions (i.e., Q) of a complex number. Transmitted QAM information symbols on a communication channel (and the pilot and sync symbols as well) are discrete packets of a carrier signal modulated to convey information using both the amplitude and phase-angle displacement of the carrier from some reference. QAM information symbols are represented on the constellation of FIG. 1 as complex quantities represented as vectors having both magnitude (represented as length or distance from origin) and phase angles ϕ (angles measured with respect to one of the axes). In a 64-QAM system, having 64 different magnitude and phase angle combinations that correspond to 64 different possible bit patterns of six binary digits (which bits are from a serial stream of bits from an information source), each of the 64 points on the constellation is identified as representing one combination of six bits.

A vector 100 (expressed in rectangular coordinates as $5I + 5Q$ and having a length $= (5^2 + 5^2)/2$ and a phase angle 102 equal to the arc tangent of $5/5$ or forty-five degrees with respect to the real axis), points to the point 104 in the constellation. This point is shown in FIG. 1 as representing the series of six binary digits (i.e., 000000). Another QAM symbol represented by point 106 ($-1I - 1Q$) is illustrated in this constellation and represents another series of six binary digits (i.e., 110011).

For any modulation scheme, the demodulator preferably should provide the decoder with the log-likelihood ratio (LLR) of the received encoded bits. As shown in FIG. 2, a receiver 200 in a CDMA system includes a log-likelihood ratio calculator 202 that receives demodulated symbols from a demodulator 204 and calculates a LLR for each bit of the demodulated symbols. The decoder 206 receives the LLR's calculated by the log-likelihood ratio calculator 202 and decodes the individual bits based on the received LLR's.

When two-dimensional modulations (i.e., having I and Q components) yield

spectral efficiencies greater than 2bps/Hz, each encoded bit cannot be mapped to an orthogonal symbol component. As a result, bit LLR's must be calculated from Euclidean distances between the demodulated symbol and the constellation symbols. The LLR of each actual j^{th} bit associated with a symbol y received at time k (referred to as y_k) is determined by the following relationship:

$$LLR(u_{k,j}) = \log \left\{ \frac{P(u_{k,j} = 0 | y_k)}{P(u_{k,j} = 1 | y_k)} \right\} \quad (1)$$

where $u_{k,j}$ is the hypothesis j^{th} bit value of the symbol u at time k and P represents the probability that the j^{th} bit of u_k is a binary value of 0 or 1 given that y_k is the received symbol. This equation reduces to the expression:

$$LLR(u_{k,j}) = \log \left\{ \frac{\sum_{u_k: u_{k,j} = 0} P(y_k | u_k)}{\sum_{u_k: u_{k,j} = 1} P(y_k | u_k)} \right\} \quad (2)$$

assuming that all transmitted symbols are equiprobable. The probability P is represented by the following relationship assuming a perfect channel correction, such that the real and imaginary components are independent:

$$P(y_k | u_k) = p(y_k^r, y_k^i | u_k^r, u_k^i) = p(y_k^r | u_k^r) p(y_k^i | u_k^i) \quad (3)$$

Assuming additive Gaussian noise, the above equation reduces to the following expression:

$$P(y_k | u_k) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp \frac{-D_k^2}{2\sigma^2} \quad (4)$$

where D_k^2 is the squared Euclidean distance between the received symbol and the hypothesis symbol and σ^2 is the variance of the Gaussian noise.

Accordingly, the squared Euclidean distance D_k^2 is calculated by summing the

squares of the differences between the received and hypothesis symbol of both the real (r) and imaginary (i) components as represented by the following relationship:

$$D_k^2 = |y_k - u_k|^2 = (y_k^r - u_k^r)^2 + (y_k^i - u_k^i)^2 \quad (5)$$

Substituting equation (4) into equation (2) allows calculation of the LLR based on the squared Euclidean distances and yields the following expression:

$$LLR(u_{k,j}) = \log \sum_{u_k: u_{k,j}=0} e^{\frac{-D_k^2}{2\sigma^2}} - \log \sum_{u_k: u_{k,j}=1} e^{\frac{-D_k^2}{2\sigma^2}} \quad (6)$$

This expression may be approximated by simply taking the difference between the minimum squared distances between the received symbol and the hypothesis symbol having respective bit values of 1 and 0. Thus, equation (6) may be reduced to the following expression to approximate the LLR:

$$LLR(u_{k,j}) = \min_{u_k: u_{k,j}=1} [D_k^2] - \min_{u_k: u_{k,j}=0} [D_k^2] \quad (7)$$

where the LLR of a bit $u_{k,j}$ in a symbol is found by computing the difference of the minimum distance between the received symbol and a QAM constellation point where that particular bit equals one and the minimum distance between the received symbol and a QAM constellation point where that particular bit equals

zero. In M-ary QAM systems the M number of symbols is equal to 2^m with m being the number of bits per symbol. Hence, a m number of LLR calculations are required per modulation symbol (i.e., finding the LLR for each of the m number of bits in the symbol). In order to determine the m number of LLR calculations, a M number of squared Euclidian distances (D^2) must first be determined between the received QAM symbol and each of the QAM symbols in the QAM constellation of symbols. Given the above mathematical operations, the calculation of LLR values for each received modulation symbol require a M number of squared Euclidean distance calculations (i.e., D^2 calculations, each of which involve 2 multiplication operations and an addition operation), a M number of comparison/selections per bit to determine the minimum squared Euclidean to the particular bit values of one and zero for all of the m bits in the symbol (i.e., the number of min D^2 values where $u = 1$ (M/2 comparison/selections and the number of min D^2 values where $u = 0$ (M/2 comparison/selections), the total then multiplied by the m number of total bits in the symbol and a m number of addition operations for determining the difference between the values as shown in Equation (7) above. Accordingly, the total number of operations required per received QAM modulation symbol is 2M multiplication operations plus $m \cdot M$ comparison/selection operation plus a $M + m$ number of addition operations.

For purposes of illustrating reduced frame processing time, it is assumed that a clock rate for a CDMA system is 32×1.2288 MHz, or 39.3216 MHz, although the teachings of the present application are advantageous at any number of different clock rates. A multiplication operation typically requires 2 clock cycles to complete, addition operations 1 clock cycle and comparison/selection operations 2 clock cycles. Thus, for example, the time required to process a frame with 64-QAM modulation containing 5376 symbols with 6 bits per symbol, would be approximately 150 ms. This time period becomes problematic because it is much greater than the 5 to 20ms frame turn around time required for high speed data channels. Techniques such as parallelization and pipelining may be used to reduce calculation time, but are nonetheless insufficient to reduce the receiver throughput rate down to the order of a frame turn around time in a high speed data channel.

Accordingly, there is a need for reduction of computation time for LLR's to the order of a frame time in a receiver that bit decodes QAM modulated symbols, especially for higher orders such as 64-QAM.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 illustrates a Cartesian graph showing symbols in a 64-QAM system;

FIG. 2 illustrates a block diagram of a receiver in a communications system;

FIG. 3 illustrates a Cartesian graph showing Karnaugh mapped, Gray coded constellation symbols in a 64-QAM communication system according to the teachings of the present invention; and

FIG. 4 illustrates a schematic of a logic circuit employed to calculate LLR values for each I component bits in a 64-QAM communication system according to the teachings of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The above noted problem is solved by the method and apparatus of the present disclosure. Specifically, mapping the QAM signal with a specific mapping scheme allows reduced computation time for log-likelihood ratios for each of the bits in a QAM symbol through the elimination of calculation steps based on characteristics of the predetermined specific mapping scheme. Preferably, the signal is Karnaugh mapped and Gray coded, which introduces symmetry into the constellation such that calculation steps may be eliminated based on the symmetrical characteristics of the QAM constellation.

FIG. 3 illustrates an exemplary 64-QAM square constellation with each of the 64 points corresponding to a particular bit sequence of the 6 bits. Each of the bit sequences is of the form $i_1q_1i_2q_2i_3q_3$ labeled from most significant bit to least significant bit. Of significance is that each of the "i" bits are independent of the ordinate or Q axis and each of the "q" bits are independent of the abscissa or I axis.

For example, the "i" bits change along the "I" or abscissa axis of the Cartesian graph in FIG. 3 (i.e., along the rows), but remain the same for all constellation points in the "Q" or ordinate axis direction (i.e., they change from column to column in the abscissa direction). For example, the bit i_2 in the second column to the right of the Q axis are all zeros, whereas all of the i_2 bits for the symbols in the third column from the right of the Q axis are all ones. To further illustrate this property of the Karnaugh mapped QAM signals, the lines shown at the top of the graph and the left side of the graph indicate subsets of constellation points wherein the bit is a one value whereas the absence of a line indicates a subset of points wherein the corresponding bit is a zero value. For example, the subset of points where bit i_1 is equal to a value of one all lie in the left half of the Cartesian plane whereas the subset of points where i_1 is equal to a zero value lie in the right half plane.

In the following discussion a TRUE region of the constellation for a particular bit refers to a subset of points where the value of that bit equals the desired value. The FALSE region is the compliment of the TRUE region. As an example, where i_1 is equal to zero, the TRUE region is the right-hand plane as shown in FIG. 3 and the FALSE region is the left-hand plane. As another example, for the subset of constellation points where bit q_3 equals zero the TRUE region comprises the second and third rows above and below the abscissa of the Cartesian graph in FIG. 3 and the FALSE regions comprise the first and fourth rows above and below the abscissa.

Additionally, the Karnaugh mapped QAM signals are also Gray coded such that the symbols adjoining a given symbol only change by one bit value. For example, the symbol 300 representing the bits 000000 is adjoined by four constellation points 302, 304, 306 and 308, two in the I direction and two in the Q direction, that only differ by a one bit value. For example, the point 302 located directly below has the value 000001 where only bit q_3 has changed in value.

The soft values of the demodulated symbols are formatted as a twos complement according to the following:

$$I = sxxx.xxx = si.f$$

where i refers to the integer portion bits, f is the fractional portion bits and s represents the sign bit. In mathematical terms I may be represented by the following expression:

$$I = -s2^{N-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{N-2} i_k 2^k + \sum_{k=1}^M f_k 2^{-k} = -s2^{N-1} + i + f \quad (8)$$

for numbers represented by a N number of integer bits and a M number of fractional bits. The demodulated QAM symbol is also represented in the form of $I+jQ$.

Particular properties and characteristics of the Karnaugh mapped QAM signal constellation illustrated in FIG. 3 afford simplified LLR calculations. One characteristic is that the constellation of FIG. 3 is mirror symmetric about the horizontal I and vertical Q axes for all bits except for the two most significant bits i_1 and q_1 , which respectively have opposing values on opposing halves of the Cartesian plane. It is noted that the symbol may be Karnaugh mapped differently such that other bits besides the two most significant bits have opposing values on opposing halves of the plane. However, typically only two bits are not mirror symmetric and these two bits are comprised of an i bit and a q bit. Another feature is that the log-likelihood ratio of a bit i_j or q_j is independent of the quadrature component of the demodulated symbol. Specifically, a bit i_j is independent of the Q quadrature component and a bit q_j is independent of the I quadrature component. Thus, the calculation of the squared Euclidean distances as given in equation (7) previously can be greatly simplified since the calculation need only involve either horizontal or vertical distances, but not both. Hence, the log-likelihood ratio calculations for the I_j and Q_j bits are given respectively by the following equations:

$$LLR(i_j) = \min(\Delta I_j^1)^2 - \min(\Delta I_j^0)^2 \quad (9)$$

$$LLR(q_j) = \min(\Delta Q_j^1)^2 - \min(\Delta Q_j^0)^2 \quad (10)$$

where ΔI_1 and ΔQ_1 are the horizontal and vertical distances, respectively, between the demodulated symbol and a point where the bit equals a value that is either a zero or a one.

Yet another property of the Karnaugh mapped signal that affords simplification of LLR calculations is that when a demodulated symbol resides in a FALSE region for a particular bit, the nearest point will lie in the row or column closest to the border between the TRUE and FALSE regions. Thus, the distance to the nearest point will be a value of one plus the magnitude of the distance from the demodulated symbol to the border. A value of one is added here since the borders in the Karnaugh map of FIG. 3 are set halfway between the rows and columns, which are spaced at a distance of 2. Hence, the distance from rows or columns to a boundary lying halfway between them is one. However, other embodiments may utilize distances between rows and columns other than two and, thus, different values would be added to the magnitude of the distance from the demodulated symbol to the border. Additionally, if the demodulated symbol lies in a TRUE region for a particular bit the distance to the nearest point is equal to fractional part f or $1-f$ dependent on whether the integer portion i of the demodulated symbol component I is even or odd.

Relying on these characteristics an algorithm is then formulated according to the teachings of the present invention whereby the number of calculations to compute the LLR of each bit is reduced. In the following discussion the disclosed algorithm is for a 64-QAM constellation.

Using equation 9, discussed above, the log-likelihood ratio for the most significant bit i_1 is calculated using the expression:

$$LLR(i_1) = \min(\Delta I_1^1)^2 - \min(\Delta I_1^0)^2 \quad (10)$$

Where I_1 is the twos complement formatted position of the first bit I_1 . In this case the minimum distance between the demodulated symbol bit I_1 and a constellation point having a bit I_1 with the value of zero can be determined using

the following relationships rather than calculating squared Euclidean distances for all 32 symbols where the most significant bit will be equal to zero.

First, if the value of I is less than or equal to zero it is known that the demodulated symbol lies in the left hand side of the Cartesian plane shown in FIG.

3. Thus, it follows that the minimum distance to a constellation point having the i_1 bit equal to zero will be one (i.e., this value is arbitrary but is preferably one as illustrated in FIG. 3 where the first column of constellation points are set at a value of one on the abscissa axis) plus the absolute value of I (i.e., the absolute value must be taken since I is less than or equal to zero or, in other words, negative).

Secondly, if the value of I is greater than zero the minimum distance to a constellation point having a bit i_1 equal to zero can be easily obtained dependent on whether the integer portion of the demodulated symbol value I is even or odd. If the integer portion is odd, then the minimum value is simply equal to the fractional portion of the two's complement formatted demodulated symbol. For example, if

the demodulated symbol location is at 3.9 in the I direction of the Cartesian plane then the closest constellation points will be those in the second column at a value of

3. Thus, the distance along the I axis between the demodulated symbol and the constellation point is .9, which is the fractional part f of I . Alternatively, if the integer portion i of the demodulated two's complemented symbol is even then the

minimum value is equal to $1-f$. For example, if the demodulated symbol lies at 4.1 in the I direction, the closest constellation points will lie in the third column at 5

along the I axis of the Cartesian plane. Thus, the distance is 1 minus the fractional portion f (i.e., .1) or, in other words, .9.

Finally, if the demodulated symbol lies beyond a value of 8 on the I axis of

the Cartesian plane, then the minimum distance to a constellation symbol with the most significant bit i_1 equal to zero will be the difference between the value of I and

the last column to the right of 7. However, since demodulated symbol values falling between 7 and 8 on the Cartesian plane are already accounted for by the previously discussed conditions, the distance is calculated by the expression 1 plus 1

minus 8.

The foregoing conditions are set forth mathematically where $i_1 = 0$ as

follows:

$$\min(\Delta I_1^0) = \begin{matrix} 1 + |I| & I \leq 0 \\ f & I > 0, \text{ Integer } i \text{ is odd} \\ 1-f & I > 0, \text{ Integer } i \text{ is even} \\ 1 + I-8 & I > 8 \end{matrix}$$

Similarly, the minimum value of the distance between the demodulated symbol and the constellation point when the bit $i_1 = 1$ can be computed using the corollary conditions listed below.

$$\min(\Delta I_1^1) = \begin{matrix} 1 + |I| & I > 0 \\ f & I \leq 0, \text{ Integer } i \text{ is odd} \\ 1-f & I \leq 0, \text{ Integer } i \text{ is even} \\ 1 + I-8 & I < -8 \end{matrix}$$

It is noted that for demodulated I symbol values falling on the Q axis, the algorithm arbitrarily is set such that zero is taken as a point in the right half plane. However, zero values could alternatively be assumed to be part of values in the left hand plane.

Similarly, for finding the LLR of the bit i_2 , further characteristics of the Karnaugh mapped constellation illustrated in FIG. 3 are utilized to reduce the number of calculations necessary to derive the LLR. Here the i_2 bits are the same for the outer 2 columns on both sides of the plane whereas the inner 2 columns on each side of the Q axis are the same value for those particular constellation points. Accordingly, the cutoff points are the value of 4, which lies between the two regions on either side of the Q axis. Following are the specific equations used in the algorithm to determine the minimum distances for i_2 values equal to zero and

one, respectively, and also the equation used to determine the LLR of i_2 utilizing equation 9 above.

Assuming $i_2 = 0$;

$$\begin{aligned} \min(\Delta I_2^0) = & \begin{aligned} & 1 + (|I| - 4) & |I| \geq 0 \\ & f & |I| < 4, \text{ Integer } i \text{ is odd} \\ & 1-f & |I| < 4, \text{ Integer } i \text{ is even} \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

Assuming $i_2 = 1$;

$$\begin{aligned} \min(\Delta I_2^1) = & \begin{aligned} & 1 + (4 - |I|) & |I| < 4 \\ & f & |I| \geq 4, \text{ Integer } i \text{ is odd} \\ & 1-f & |I| \geq 4, \text{ Integer } i \text{ is even} \\ & 1 + (|I| - 8) & |I| > 8 \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, to compute the last bit i_3 , the particular characteristics of the Karnaugh mapped constellation are again utilized to reduce the calculation of squared Euclidean distances necessary to determine the LLR for this bit. Following are the conditions used to calculate the LLR for i_3 for the particular Karnaugh mapped 64-QAM constellation of FIG. 3 having the characteristics of the inner and outer columns on both sides of the Q axis having the same bit values for i_3 .

Assuming $i_3 = 0$;

$$\begin{aligned} \min(\Delta I_3^0) = & \begin{aligned} & 1 + (2 - |I|) & |I| < 2 \\ & 1 + (|I| - 6) & |I| > 6 \\ & f & 2 \leq |I| \leq 6, \text{ Integer } i \text{ is odd} \\ & 1-f & 2 \leq |I| \leq 6, \text{ Integer } i \text{ is even} \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

Assuming $i_3 = 1$;

$$\min(\Delta I_3^1) = 1 + (|I| - 2) \quad 2 \leq |I| < 4$$

$$1 + (6 - |I|) \quad 4 \leq |I| < 6$$

$$f \quad |I| < 2, \text{ Integer } i \text{ is odd}$$

$$1-f \quad |I| < 2, \text{ Integer } i \text{ is even}$$

$$f \quad 6 \leq |I| < 8, \text{ Integer } i \text{ is odd}$$

$$1-f \quad 6 \leq |I| < 8, \text{ Integer } i \text{ is even}$$

$$1 + (|I| - 8) \quad |I| \geq 8$$

Likewise, the Q_i bits are also calculated using the same techniques that are used for the I_j bits except that the distances are in the Q instead of I direction.

Following is an example of the equations used in the algorithm for finding the LLR of the bit Q_1 .

Assuming $q_1 = 0$:

$$\min(\Delta Q_1^0) = 1 + |Q| \quad Q \leq 0$$

$$f \quad Q > 0, \text{ Integer } q \text{ is odd}$$

$$1-f \quad Q > 0, \text{ Integer } q \text{ is even}$$

$$1 + Q - 8 \quad Q > 8$$

Assuming $q_1 = 1$:

$$\min(\Delta Q_1^1) = 1 + |Q| \quad Q > 0$$

$$f \quad Q \leq 0, \text{ Integer } q \text{ is odd}$$

$$1-f \quad Q \leq 0, \text{ Integer } q \text{ is even}$$

$$1 + Q - 8 \quad Q < -8$$

The present discussion omits discussing the calculation of the LLR's for bits Q_2 and Q_3 since they are corollaries to the calculations for bits i_2 and i_3 , respectively, discussed above.

FIG. 4 illustrates a logic apparatus block diagram that may be used to implement the previously discussed algorithm. This apparatus may be employed within the log-likelihood ratio calculator 202 as illustrated in FIG. 2. In particular the demodulated symbol being formatted in the twos complement form of $si.f$ is input to the logic apparatus where the individual components are split into the sign s , the integer and fractional portions $i.f$, the integer portion i alone and the fractional portion f alone. Prior to splitting the twos complemented symbol into its components, an absolute value block 402 is used to remove the sign portion S of the twos complemented symbol. Each of the values is input to a combinatorial logic 404, which, in turn, calculates numerous flags dependent on the values input. For example, the logic 404 outputs a flag when the value of I is greater than zero, an even or odd indication when the integer value is respectively even or odd or when the absolute value of I lies between, above or below particular numerical values. These flags are used by the logic to decide which of various inputs will be output, as will be discussed later and are essentially indicative of the position of I relative to various values along the I axis.

The logic contains a number of summing blocks 406, 408, 410, 412, 414, 416, 418, 420, 422, and 424 that all receive as an input the absolute value $|I|$ of the received symbol. To this value, various values are either added or subtracted and the resultant values are used to input to the various decision multiplexers. Prior to input to the decision multiplexers, however, the resultants of the addition blocks are time controlled by D flip-flops being clocked with a clock $\phi 1$ (i.e., 426, 428, 430, 432, 434, 436, 438, 440, 442 and 442). Hence, all of these values are computed in parallel simultaneously and are released to the decision multiplexers. Each of these decision multiplexers receives two or more input bits, which are comprised of the flag values output from the combinatorial logic 404. Furthermore, each of the multiplexers is used to output a value comprised of one of the inputs that represents the minimum distances ΔI_1^0 , ΔI_1^1 , ΔI_2^0 , ΔI_2^1 , ΔI_3^0 , and ΔI_3^1 .

The decisions output by the multiplexers are based on the particular flag values input and correspond to the previously discussed conditions of finding the minimum distance based on the particular bit I_j and whether the distances are to a zero or a one value. Of further note, the multiplexers are paired to output the ΔI_j values to both zero and one. For example, multiplexers 446 and 454 respectively output the values ΔI_1^0 and ΔI_1^1 to D clocked flip-flops 448 and 456 that are

triggered by clock $\phi 2$. As can be seen in FIG. 4, similar pairings of multiplexers (e.g., 462 and 470, and 478 and 486) are arranged, respectively, to determine the ΔI values for bits I_2 and I_3 . The D flip-flops 448, 456, 464, 472, 480 and 488 are all simultaneously clocked to release the values of ΔI_j to the zero or one values, respectively, to multipliers (i.e., 450, 458, 466, 474, 482 and 490) that are arranged to square the value that is input thereto. For each pairing of multipliers (i.e., 450 and 458, 466 and 474, and 482 and 490) the squared resultants are output to respective summing blocks 452, 468 and 484 that subtract the squared minimum distances thereby calculating the LLR in accordance with the relationship described previously in equation 7. Each of the summing blocks 452, 468, and 484 are input to clocked D flip-flops, which are clocked in parallel via clock $\phi 3$ to output the LLR values for the I_j bits simultaneously. It is noted that the apparatus used to calculate the LLRs of the Q component is identical with the apparatus illustrated in FIG. 4.

An advantage of the configuration of FIG. 4 is that all of the operations are logically combinatorial thereby allowing execution of the operations to be clocked with a single clock and performed in a single clock cycle. Thus, the calculation of the flags output from the combinatorial logic 404 and the intermediate calculations output by the D flip-flops 426, 428, 430, 432, 434, 436, 438, 440, 442 and 444 (and similarly those for the Q component) can be performed in a single clock cycle. Similarly, the minimum distances can be derived via the multiplexers and their accompanying flag inputs also on a single clock cycle. The multiplication operation performed by the multipliers configured to square the input value requires 2 clock cycles to perform. Finally the latched LLRs are released via the D flip-flops 460,

476 and 492 in a single clock cycle from clock $\phi 3$.

Accordingly, the total number of clock cycles required to calculate the LLRs of a demodulated symbol is 5. Thus, the processing time for a 5376 symbol frame, assuming the same clock rate of 32×1.2288 MHz used previously, is approximately .684 milliseconds. The use of the above-described algorithm as implemented with the apparatus shown in FIG. 4, for example, reduces the time required to calculate the bit LLRs for a 5376 64-QAM symbol frame from approximately 150 milliseconds to less than 1 millisecond. Thus, feasible calculation of bit LLRs in 64-QAM modulated systems is possible even for high speed data channels.

The above-described teachings of the present application achieve a quite significant reduction in the time required to calculate bit LLRs in a 64-QAM symbol communication system affording feasible use of bit decoding in high speed data communication channels. It is noted that the present method and apparatus do not apply to only 64-QAM but any M-ary QAM modulated system where the constellation of symbols may be square Karnaugh mapped. It will be further apparent to those skilled in the art, that other embodiments other than the specific disclosed embodiments described above may be devised without departing from the fair scope of the appended claims and their equivalents.